Commentary

iMedPub Journals
www.imedpub.com

Journal of Hospital & Medical Management
ISSN 2471-9781

2022
Vol.8 No.9:333

A Health Care Service may be less Cost-Effective

Esteve Leone*

Department of Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

***Corresponding author:** Esteve Leone, Department of Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA, E-mail: Leone_E@Zed.edu

Received date: August 22, 2022, Manuscript No. IPJHMM-22-14796; **Editor assigned date:** August 24, 2022, PreQC No. IPJHMM-22-14796 (PQ); **Reviewed date:** September 05, 2022, QC No. IPJHMM-22-14796; **Revised date:** September 15, 2022, Manuscript No. IPJHMM-22-14796 (R); **Published date:** September 21, 2022, DOI: 10.36648/2471-9781.8.9.333.

Citation: Leone E (2022) A Health Care Service may be less Cost-Effective. J Hosp Med Manage Vol.8 No.9: 333.

Description

A level of value provided by any health care resource that is measured is known as health care quality. It is an evaluation of whether something is adequate and suitable for its purpose, just like quality in other fields. The provision of high-quality medical resources to all who require them is the objective of health care that is, to increase life expectancy, improve quality of life and, if at all possible, treat illnesses. For the purpose of attempting to determine the quality of health care, researchers employ a variety of quality measures. Some examples of these measures include counts of a therapy's reduction or lessening of diseases identified by medical diagnosis, a decrease in the number of risk factors that people have following preventive care, or a survey of health indicators in a population that is accessing particular types of care.

Multiple Clinical Departments

The Donabedian model, which provides a standard framework for evaluating the quality of health care, identifies three domains within which the quality of health care can be evaluated: Structure, procedure and outcomes. Each of these three domains is interconnected and builds on itself. Results frequently reflect progress in process and structure. Examples of process enhancements include: Guidelines for clinical practice, a cost-effectiveness analysis and risk management, which entails taking preventative measures to avoid medical errors. Cost-Effectiveness or cost-efficiency is the process of determining whether a service's benefits outweigh its costs. Over or underutilization of a health care service can make it less cost-effective. When resources are squandered, overutilization, or overuse, reduces the value of health care. Thereby denying someone else the potential advantages of receiving the service. In health care that is overused, the costs or risks of treatment outweigh the benefits. Underutilization, on the other hand, is when a treatment's benefits outweigh its risks or costs but it is not used. Underutilization has the potential to have negative health effects. Lack of early cancer detection and treatment is one example, which reduces cancer survival rates.

Health care managers use critical pathways as a method to reduce variation in care, decrease resource utilization and improve quality of care. Using critical pathways to reduce costs and errors improves quality by providing a systematic approach to assessing health care outcomes. Critical pathways are outcome-based and patient-centred case management tools that take on an interdisciplinary approach by "facilitating coordination of care among multiple clinical departments and caregivers. Improved collaboration among interdisciplinary stakeholders in the health care system is made possible by reducing variation in practice patterns. The outcome, the technical execution of the care and interpersonal relationships can all be used to evaluate a health professional's quality of care.

Healthcare Accreditation

Clinical practice guideline "technical performance" is the extent to which a health professional conformed to the best practices established by medical guidelines. Clinical practice guidelines, or medical practice guidelines, are scientifically based protocols to assist providers in adopting a "best practice" approach in delivering care for a given health condition. Standardizing the practice of medicine improves quality of care by simultaneously promoting lower costs and better outcomes. Technical performance is judged from a quality perspective without regard to the actual outcome. For instance, if a physician provides care in accordance with the guidelines but a patient's health does not improve, then by this measure, the quality of the "technical performance" is still high. A Cochrane review found that computer generated reminders improved doctors' adherence to guidelines and the standard of care. But lacked evidence to determine whether this actually affected patient-centered health outcomes. Risk management focuses on avoiding medical malpractice and consists of "proactive efforts to prevent adverse events related to clinical care. Health care professionals are not exempt from lawsuits; As a result, health care organizations have taken steps to set up protocols specifically to cut down on malpractice lawsuits. Concerns about malpractice can lead to defensive medicine, or the threat of malpractice lawsuits, which can put patient safety and care at risk by requiring additional tests or treatments. Ordering expensive imaging, which can be wasteful, is one common form of defensive medicine. Other defensive behaviors, on the other hand, may actually make it harder for patients to get care and put them at risk of being hurt. Many specialty doctors say that because of malpractice risks, they do more for their patients, like using diagnostic tests they don't need. Because of this, it's

especially important that risk management strategies use principles of cost efficiency with standardized practice guidelines and critical pathways. Patient satisfaction surveys are the main qualitative measure of the perspective of the patient. Patients may not have the clinical judgment of physicians and frequently judge quality based, among other things, on the practitioner's concern and Demeanor. As a result, patient satisfaction surveys have become a somewhat contentious method of determining the quality of care. Patients' opinions, according to those who support them, can help doctors improve their practice. In addition, patient satisfaction can enhance patient-centered care because it frequently correlates with patient participation in decision-making. Opponents of patient satisfaction surveys are frequently unconvinced that the data is reliable, that the expense does not justify the costs and that what is measured is not a good indicator of quality. Patients' evaluations of care can identify opportunities for improvement in care, cost reduction, monitoring the performance of health plans and providing a comparison across health care institutions. According to the same survey, people are more likely to have a positive attitude toward the health information tools provided by their providers and, as a result, have a higher perception of the care they received. Government health systems are among the organizations that work to establish standards and measures for the quality of health care. Private health systems, accreditation programs like those for hospital accreditation, health associations and organizations aiming to establish international healthcare accreditation; charitable foundations; and health research institutions. These organizations aim to define the concept of quality in healthcare, measure it and encourage regular quality measurement in order to demonstrate the efficacy of health interventions.